

POLICY OPTIONS AND CHALLENGES FOR DEVELOPING ASIA— PERSPECTIVES FROM THE IMF AND ASIA APRIL 19-20, 2007 Tokyo



COMMENTS ON AISEN, "DETERMINANTS OF GROWTH IN LOW-INCOME ASIA"

YASUYUKI TODO University of Tokyo, Japan

Paper presented at the Conference: POLICY OPTIONS AND CHALLENGES FOR DEVELOPING ASIA— PERSPECTIVES FROM THE IMF AND ASIA Organized by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC) April 19-20, 2007 Tokyo, Japan

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) only, and the presence of them, or of links to them, on the IMF website does not imply that the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management endorses or shares the views expressed in the paper.

Comments on Aisen, "Determinants of Growth in Low-Income Asia"

> Yasuyuki Todo University of Tokyo

Contribution of the Paper

- Focus on low-income Asia
 - Lower investment ratio
 - Smaller effect of investment on growth than in the case of emerging Asia
- Policy implications to low-income Asia
 - To increase the investment ratio
 - To increase the marginal productivity of capital
 - To increase openness

Comment 1: What kind of "openness" really matters?

- Trade variable used in this paper: (exports + imports) / GDP
- Which really matters, export, import, or both?
- Import of final goods or intermediate goods? High-tech goods in particular?
- The use of detailed classifications of trade
 more practical policy implications

Comment 2: More direct tests of impacts of policies?

Policies suggested in the paper includes:

- removing barriers to free flow of technology
- improving the business climate
- deepening the financial sector
- But effects of these policies are not explicitly tested
- More direct tests of such policies may be possible by using proxies for those policies

Obstacle to incorporating comments 1 & 2

- Data are not always available, in particular for low-income Asia
- But, data for recent years may be available
- In that case, using shorter panel data, rather than long panel data for 1970-2000, is a possible second-best approach

Comment 3: Econometric and data issues

- Endogeneity
 - System GMM estimation, rather than random- or fixed-effects estimation, is suggested
- Rule-of-law index
 - The value in 2000 is used for all periods.
 - ➔ reverse causality